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**Brief Synopsis**

There is a medium body of evidence around interventions to improve workforce redistribution and increase rural retention in low- and middle-income countries. In 2010, the World Health Organization (WHO) developed a list of global policy recommendations for increasing access to health workers in remote and rural areas through improved retention. The recommendations are divided into educational recommendations, regulatory recommendations, financial incentive recommendations and personal and professional support recommendations. Most of the evidence for interventions supporting health workforce redistribution and retention are based on observational studies as opposed to use of control group studies. Overall, factors influencing health workers redistribution and rural retention are multifaceted and there is evidence that comprehensive approaches (bundle of interventions) are better suited to addressing retention challenges.

**Guidelines**


**Interventions**

**EDUCATIONAL INTERVENTIONS**

1. Targeted admission policies to enroll students with a rural background

**References:**

1. Pagaiya, N., Kongkam, L., & Sriratana, S. (2015). Rural retention of doctors graduating from the rural medical education project to increase rural doctors in


Web link: https://www-ncbi-nlm-nih-gov.ezp-prod1.hul.harvard.edu/pmc/articles/PMC4355566/

Type: National policy

Intervention description:
In some countries, attempts to increase health workforce rural retention has been done through the creation of special medical school recruitment programs. These programs target students with a rural background for entrance into medical schools as there is some evidence (mostly from developed countries) that medical graduates with a rural background are more likely to work in rural settings than their counterparts with an urban background.

Example: The Collaborative Project to Increase Production of Rural Doctors (CPIRD) was created in 1994 in Thailand and targeted students who resided or were educated in rural areas for admission into medical schools. In addition, all clinical training for eligible students were undertaken in CPIRD-affiliated medical schools located outside major cities.

Outcome: One study indicated that the retention rate at rural hospitals was 29% for CPIRD doctors compared to 18% for non-CPIRD track doctors. The authors observed that non-CPIRD track doctors were 1.5 times more likely to leave public practice than CPIRD trained doctors. A 12-year prospective study showed that CPIRD-trained physicians had a 54.9% lower probability of public resignation.

Organization: Thailand Ministry of Public Health (MoPH), Collaborative Project to Increase Production of Rural Doctors (CPIRD)

Cost: Not available

Considerations: The increase in surgical specialties has led to more surgical trainees seeking additional training outside of their country of origin; which provides an additional challenge in recruiting these trainees once their subspecialty training is complete. An increase in specialty positions in rural hospitals may sustain the effects of such retention programs. And additional potential challenge is resentment among doctors recruited in the normal track who are assigned rural job assignments.

2. Train students closer to rural communities and match curricula with rural health needs

References:


Web link: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/2/e013501

Type: National policy; medical school recruitment; training policy
Intervention description: Developing policies around factors that motivate undergraduate and post-graduate students to work in rural areas to improve rural retention. Some factors that have been identified to motivate rural retention include training in rural areas with community-based curriculum, early exposure to a rural community during medical school training, and rural location of medical schools.²

Examples: A comprehensive literature review study by Budhathoki et al. found that in Uganda, medical training and community-based curriculum motivated and prepared students for medical practice in rural areas. The review also found that in Nepal, students perceived that training in rural areas as medical students prepared them for practice in those areas.³

Outcome: Although there are numerous studies that explore motivations of students who want to work in rural areas, the few from low and middle-income countries have reported on both the positive impact of medical training in rural areas and the role of a rural curriculum leading to rural retention.

Cost: No costing information available
Considerations: Ensure that training facilities in rural areas are adequately equipped and staffed with faculty to ensure that students are provided the same level of training and exposure as well as continued motivation for students to train rurally. Variability and quality of clinical and teaching skills of rural practitioners that sometimes is evident in rural settings, will need to be standardized prior to deployment of residents rurally.⁴

REGULATORY INTERVENTIONS

1. Compulsory rural service and incentives
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Type: National and medical school policies

Intervention description:
Compulsory (or mandatory, requisite, obligatory) service has been implemented in many countries worldwide often in the form of laws and policies in an effort to attract and retain healthcare workers in remote areas. These interventions are often implemented by ministries of health as regulating bodies in conjunction with medical schools and hospitals. They are also implemented with compliance measures such as withholding full registration until obligations are fulfilled, withholding degree and salary, or imposing fines.

Examples: In a review of compulsory service programs, Frehywot, Payne and Ross categorized compulsory services programs into 3 categories: condition of service/state employment programme; compulsory service with incentives (financial and non-financial); and compulsory service without incentives. In the condition of service/state category, governments have the authority to assign health employees to any part of the country based on need. This type of compulsory service has been implemented in Cuba, Myanmar, Australia and Islamic Republic of Iran. In the compulsory service with incentives, common incentives include educational, employment, living provisions-linked, and bundled incentives. These incentives have been implemented in a dozens of countries around the world and summarized in a table here. Finally, compulsory service without incentives has been implemented in countries like Iraq, Malaysia, India, Mexico and Venezuela.

Outcomes: In Nepal, the government observed an increase in retention after comfortable living quarters, reliable internet service, in-service training for staff, and capacity development for management were provided along with their compulsory service scholarship program. After implementation of compulsory service in Puerto Rico, all 78 municipalities had at least one doctor compared to 16 municipalities before implementation.

Organization: National Ministries of Health

Cost: Not available

Considerations: Many considerations must be taken into account when choosing models for implementing compulsory service interventions. Challenges to be considered include cost, utility, sustainability of programmes, poorly equipped rural facilities, poor supervision, poor access to electricity and water, transportation limitations, and low staffing levels.
PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT INTERVENTIONS

1. Foster interaction between urban and rural health workers and facilitate knowledge transfer
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Type: Facility-based

Intervention description:
Create individuals or teams of specialists who visit rural health workers to support and advise them on patient care and facilitate their professional development. Knowledge transfer can also occur in the form of tele-health in which specialists help rural health workers diagnose and treat their patients.2,3

Outcome: There is little information available around the effects of urban-rural interactions or knowledge transfer on redistribution and retention of rural health workers. Much of the available evidence is anecdotal. Some observational studies suggest that these interventions can improve competencies and job satisfaction.

Organization: NGOs, Ministries of Health, Health facilities

Cost: Not found

Considerations: Very few studies have been done to assess the effects of urban-rural interactions or knowledge transfer and rural retention rates. These interventions could theoretically reduce rates of professional isolation and increase physician support leading to higher rural retention rates.

Additional Resources:

